I'm not robot	reCAPTCHA
---------------	-----------

Continue

Management griffin 11th edition pdf full word list

```
Intense armed conflict This article is about war in general. For other uses, see War (disambiguation), The War (disambiguation), and Warring (disambiguation) warfare: Stele of the Vultures, c. 2500 BCMedieval warfare: Battle of Hastings,
1066Early modern warfare: Retreat from Moscow, 1812Industrial age warfare: Battle of the Somme, 1916Modern warfare: Nuclear w
Land Cold-region Desert Jungle Mountain Urban Sea Amphibious Blue Brown Green Surface Underwater Subterranean Tunnel Cyber Information Weapons Armor Artillery Barrage Biological Camouflage Cavalry Chemical Class Combined arms Conventional Cyber Denial Disinformation Drone Electronic Infantry Lawfare Loitering Music Nuclear
Psychological Radiological Unconventional Tactics Aerial Battle Cavalry Charge Counterattack Counterinsurgency Defeat in detail Foxhole Envelopment Guerrilla Morale Rapid dominance Siege Swarming Tactical objective Target saturation Trench Withdrawal Operation Operations research Blitzkrieg
Expeditionary Deep operation Maneuver Operation Man
Containment Economic Endemic Irregular Liberation Chain of command Command Command Command and control Doctrine Engineers Intelligence Ranks
Technology and equipment Personnel Military recruitment Conscription Recruit training Military specialism Women in the military Conscientious objector Counter-recruitment Logistics Military-industrial complex Arms industry Materiel Supply-chain
management Main operating base Forward operating base Forward operating base Outpost Science Power projection Loss of Strength Gradient Law Court-martial Geneva Conventions Geneva Protocol Justice Perfidy Rules of engagement Martial law War crime Theory Air supremacy Command of the sea Full-spectrum dominance Overmatch Unrestricted Warfare Related
Outline of war Just war theory Principles of war Philosophy of war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction War game Lanchester's laws Security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war War film Military science fiction was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war was security dilemma Tripwire force Mercenary War novel Women in war was security was security with the war was security was se
an intense armed conflict[a] between states, governments, societies, or paramilitary groups such as mercenaries, insurgents, and militias. It is generally characterized by extreme violence, aggression, destruction, and mortality, using regular or irregular military forces. Warfare refers to the common activities and characteristics of types of war, or of
wars in general.[2] Total war is warfare that is not restricted to purely legitimate military targets, and can result in massive civilian or other non-combatant suffering and casualties. While some war studies scholars consider war a universal and ancestral aspect of human nature,[3] others argue it is a result of specific socio-cultural, economic or
ecological circumstances.[4] Etymology Mural of War (1896), by Gari Melchers The English words wyrre and werre, from the Proto-Germanic *werzō 'mixture, confusion'. The word is
related to the Old Saxon werran, Old High German werran, and the german werran were german we
siege of Dapur in the 13th century BC, from Ramesseum, Thebes. The earliest evidence of prehistoric warfare is a Mesolithic cemetery in Jebel Sahaba, which has been determined to be approximately 14,000 years old. About forty-five percent of the skeletons there displayed signs of violent death.[6] Since the rise of the state some 5,000 years ago,[7]
military activity has occurred over much of the globe. The advent of gunpowder and the acceleration of technological advances led to modern warfare. According to Conway W. Henderson, "One source claims that 14,500 wars have taken place between 3500 BC and the late 20th century, costing 3.5 billion lives, leaving only 300 years of peace (Beer
1981: 20). "[8] An unfavorable review of this estimate[9] mentions the following regarding one of the proponents of this estimate: "In addition, perhaps feeling that the war casualties figure was improbably high, he changed 'approximately 3,640,000,000 human beings have been killed by war or the diseases produced by war' to 'approximately
1,240,000,000 human beings...&c." The lower figure is more plausible,[10] but could still be on the high side considering that the 100 deadliest acts of mass violence between 480 BC and 2002 AD (wars and other man-made disasters with at least 300,000 and up to 66 million victims) claimed about 455 million human lives in total.[11] Primitive
warfare is estimated to have accounted for 15.1% of deaths and claimed 400 million victims.[12] Added to the aforementioned figure of 1,240 million between 3500 BC and the late 20th century, this would mean a total of 1,640,000,000 people killed by war (including deaths from famine and disease caused by war) throughout the history and pre-
history of mankind. For comparison, an estimated 1,680,000,000 people died from infectious diseases in the 20th century.[13] In War Before Civilization, Lawrence H. Keeley, a professor at the University of Illinois, says approximately 90-95% of known societies throughout history engaged in at least occasional warfare,[14] and many fought
constantly.[15] Keeley describes several styles of primitive combat such as small raids, large raids, and massacres. All of these forms of warfare were used by primitive societies, a finding supported by other researchers.[16] Keeley explains that early war raids were not well organized, as the participants did not have any formal training. Scarcity of
resources meant defensive works were not a cost-effective way to protect the society against enemy raids.[17] William Rubinstein wrote "Pre-literate societies, even those organised in a relatively advanced way, were renowned for their studied cruelty...'archaeology yields evidence of prehistoric massacres more severe than any recounted in
ethnography [i.e., after the coming of the Europeans]."[18] Japanese samurai attacking a Mongol ship, 13th century, more than 150 conflicts and about 600 battles have taken place.[19] During the 20th century, war resulted in a dramatic intensification of the pace of social changes, and was a crucial
catalyst for the emergence of the political Left as a force to be reckoned with. [20] Finnish soldiers during the Winter War. In 1947, in view of the rapidly increasingly destructive consequences of modern warfare, and with a particular concern for the consequences and costs of the newly developed atom bomb, Albert Einstein famously stated, "I know
not with what weapons World War III will be fought, but World War III will be fought with sticks and stones."[21] Mao Zedong urged the socialist camp not to fear nuclear war with the United States since, even if "half of mankind died, the other half would remain while imperialism would be razed to the ground and the whole world would become
socialist."[22] A distinctive feature of war since 1945 is the absence of wars between major powers—indeed the near absence of any traditional wars between established countries. The major exceptions were the Indo-Pakistani War of 1971, the Iran-Iraq War 1980-1988, and the Gulf War of 1990-91. Instead, combat has largely been a matter of civil
wars and insurgencies.[23] American tanks moving in formation during the Gulf War. The Human Security Report 2005 documented a significant decline in the early 1990s. However, the evidence examined in the 2008 edition of the Center for International Development and
Conflict Management's "Peace and Conflict" study indicated the overall decline in conflicts had stalled.[24] Types of warfare Main article: Types of warfare is a conflict between belligerents of drastically different levels of military
capability or size. Biological warfare, or germ warfare, is the use of weaponized biological toxins or infectious agents such as bacteria, viruses, and fungi. Chemical warfare involves the use of weaponized chemicals in combat. Poison gas as a chemical weapon was principally used during World War I, and resulted in over a million estimated casualties,
including more than 100,000 civilians. [25] Cold warfare is an intense international rivalry without direct means, such as economic warfare, political warfare, covert operations,
espionage, cyberwarfare, or proxy wars. Conventional warfare in declared war between states in which nuclear, biological, or chemical weapons are not used or see limited deployment. Cyberwarfare involves the actions by a nation-state or international organization to attack and attempt to damage another nation's information systems. Insurgency is
a rebellion against authority, when those taking part in the rebellion are not recognized as belligerents (lawful combatants). An insurgency can be fought via counterinsurgency, and may also be opposed by measures to protect the population, and by political and economic actions of various kinds aimed at undermining the insurgents' claims against
the incumbent regime. Information warfare is the application of destructive force on a large scale against the computers and networks that support the four critical infrastructures (the power grid, communications, financial, and transportation). [26] Nuclear warfare in which nuclear weapons are the
primary, or a major, method of achieving capitulation. Total war is warfare by any means possible, disregarding the laws of war, placing no limits on legitimate military targets, using weapons and tactics resulting in significant civilian population.
Unconventional warfare, the opposite of conventional warfare, is an attempt to achieve military victory through acquiescence, capitulation, or clandestine support for one side of an existing conflict. Aims Entities contemplating going to war and entities considering whether to end a war may formulate war aims as an evaluation/propaganda tool. War
aims may stand as a proxy for national-military resolve.[27] Definition Fried defines war aims as "the desired territorial, economic, military or other benefits expected following successful conclusion of territory (as in the German goal of
Lebensraum in the first half of the 20th century) or the recognition of economic concessions (as in the Anglo-Dutch Wars). Intangible war aims – like the accumulation of credibility or reputation[29] – may have more tangible expression ("conquest restores prestige, annexation increases power").[30] Explicit/implicit aims: Explicit war aims may involve
published policy decisions. Implicit war aims [31] can take the form of minutes of discussion, memoranda and instructions. [32] Positive/negative aims: "Positive war aims" cover tangible outcomes. "Negative war aims" forestall or prevent undesired outcomes. [33] War aims can change in the course of conflict and may eventually morph into "peace"
conditions [34] - the minimal conditions under which a state may cease to wage a particular war. Effects Global deaths in conflicts since the year 1400,[35]Main article: Effects of war Military and civilian casualties in recent human history Disability-adjusted life year for war per 100,000 inhabitants in 2004[36] no data less than 100 100-200
 200-600 600-1000 1000-1400 1400-1800 1800-2200 2200-2600 2600-3000 3000-8000 8000-8000 more than 8800 Throughout the course of human history, the average number of people dying from war has fluctuated relatively little, being about 1 to 10 people dying per 100,000. However, major wars over shorter periods have resulted
in much higher casualty rates, with 100-200 casualties per 100,000 over a few years. While conventional wisdom holds that casualties per capita as World
War I, although it was higher during World War II (WWII). That said, overall the number of casualties from war has not significantly increased in recent times. Quite to the contrary, on a global scale the time since WWII has been unusually peaceful.[37] Largest by death toll Main articles: List of wars by death toll, Outline of war § Wars, and Casualty
recording The deadliest war in history, in terms of the cumulative number of deaths since its start, is World War II, from 1939 to 1945, with 60-85 million deaths, followed by the Mongol conquests[38] at up to 60 million. As concerns a belligerent's losses in proportion to its prewar population, the most destructive war in modern history may have
been the Paraguayan War (see Paraguayan War casualties). In 2013 war resulted in 31,000 deaths, down from 72,000 deaths in 1990.[39] In 2003, Richard Smalley identified war as the sixth biggest problem (of ten) facing humanity for the next fifty years.[40] War usually results in significant deterioration of infrastructure and the ecosystem, a
decrease in social spending, famine, large-scale emigration from the war zone, and often the mistreatment of prisoners of the Byelorussian SSR in 1941, some 1.6 million were killed by the Germans in actions away from battlefields, including about
700,000 prisoners of war, 500,000 Jews, and 320,000 people counted as partisans (the vast majority of whom were unarmed civilians).[44] Another byproduct of some wars is the prevalence of propaganda by some or all parties in the conflict,[45] and increased revenues by weapons manufacturers.[46] Three of the ten most costly wars, in terms of
loss of life, have been waged in the last century. These are the two World Wars, followed by the Second Sino-Japanese War (which is sometimes considered part of World War II, or as overlapping). Most of the others involved China or neighboring peoples. The death toll of World War II, being over 60 million, surpasses all other war-death-tolls.[47]
Deaths(millions) Date War 60.7-84.6 1939-1945 World War II (see World War II (see World War II (see World War I (see World War II (see Wor
toll uncertain)[55] 25 1616-1662 Qing dynasty conquest of Ming dynasty[47] 20 1937-1945 Second Sino-Japanese War[56] 20 1370-1405 Conquests of Tamerlane[57][58] 20.77 1862-1877 Dungan Revolt[59][60] 5-9 1917-1922 Russian Civil War and Foreign Intervention[61] On military personnel Subject to combat in war often
suffer mental and physical injuries, including depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, disease, injury, and death. In every war in which American soldiers have fought in, the chances of becoming a psychiatric casualty - of being debilitated for some period of time as a consequence of the stresses of military life - were greater than the chances of
being killed by enemy fire.—No More Heroes, Richard Gabriel[19] Swank and Marchand's World War II study found that after sixty days of continuous combat, 98% of all surviving military personnel will become psychiatric casualties. Psychiatric casualties manifest themselves in fatigue cases, confusional states, conversion hysteria, anxiety,
obsessional and compulsive states, and character disorders. [62] One-tenth of mobilised American men were hospitalised for mental disturbances between 1942 and 1945, and after thirty-five days of uninterrupted combat, 98% of them manifested psychiatric disturbances in varying degrees. — 14-18: Understanding the Great War, Stéphane Audoin
Rouzeau, Annette Becker[19] The Apotheosis of War (1871) by Vasily Vereshchagin Additionally, it has been estimated anywhere from 18% to 54% of Vietnam war veterans suffered from posttraumatic stress disorder.[62] Based on 1860 census figures, 8% of all white American males aged 13 to 43 died in the American Civil War, including about 6%
in the North and approximately 18% in the South.[63] The war remains the deadliest conflict in American history, resulting in the deaths of 620,000 military personnel. United States military personnel who were mobilized in World War I, 8 million were
killed, 7 million were permanently disabled, and 15 million were seriously injured.[64] The remains of dead Crow Indians killed and scalped by Sioux c. 1874 During Napoleon's retreat from Moscow, more French military personnel died of typhus than were killed by the Russians.[65] Of the 450,000 soldiers who crossed the Neman on 25 June 1812,
less than 40,000 returned. More military personnel were killed from 1500 to 1914 by typhus than from military action. [66] In addition, if it were not for modern medical advances there would be thousands more dead from disease and infection. For instance, during the Seven Years' War, the Royal Navy reported it conscripted 184,899 sailors, of whom
133,708 (72%) died of disease or were 'missing'.[67] It is estimated that between 1985 and 1994, 378,000 people per year died due to war.[68] On civilians See also: Civilian casualties Les Grandes Misères de la guerre depict the destruction unleashed on civilians during the Thirty Years' War. Most wars have resulted in significant loss of life, along
with destruction of infrastructure and resources (which may lead to famine, disease, and death in the civilian population). During the Thirty Years' War in Europe, the population of the Holy Roman Empire was reduced by 15 to 40 percent. [69][70] Civilians in war zones may also be subject to war atrocities such as genocide, while survivors may suffer
the psychological aftereffects of witnessing the destruction of war. War also results in lower quality of life and worse health outcomes. A medium-sized conflict with about 2,500 battle deaths reduces civilian life expectancy by one year and increases infant mortality by 10% and malnutrition by 3.3%. Additionally, about 1.8% of the population loses
access to drinking water.[71] Most estimates of World War II casualties indicate around 60 million people died, 40 million for whom were civilians.[72] Deaths in the Soviet Union were around 27 million.[73] Since a high proportion of those killed were young men who had not yet fathered any children, population growth in the postwar Soviet Union
was much lower than it otherwise would have been.[74] Economic See also: Military Keynesianism Once a war has ended, losing nations are sometimes required to pay war reparations. For example, the territory of Alsace-Lorraine has been traded between France and
Germany on three different occasions.[75] Typically, war becomes intertwined with the economy (high government spending for World War II is often credited with bringing the U.S. out of the Great Depression
by most Keynesian economists), but in many cases, such as the wars of Louis XIV, the Franco-Prussian War, and World War I, warfare primarily results in damage to the economy of the countries involved. For example, Russia's involvement in World War I took such a toll on the Russian economy that it almost collapsed and greatly contributed to the
start of the Russian Revolution of 1917.[76] World War II Ruins of Warsaw's Napoleon Square in the aftermath of World War II was the most financially costly conflict in history; its belligerents cumulatively spent about a trillion U.S. dollars on the war effort (as adjusted to 1940 prices).[77][78] The Great Depression of the 1930s ended
as nations increased their production of war materials.[79] By the end of the war, 70% of European industrial infrastructure was destroyed.[80] Property damage in the Soviet Union inflicted by the Axis invasion was estimated at a value of 679 billion rubles. The combined damage consisted of complete or partial destruction of 1,710 cities and towns,
70,000 villages/hamlets, 2,508 church buildings, 31,850 industrial establishments, 40,000 mi (64,374 km) of railroad stations, 40,000 mi (64,374 km) of ra
many theories about the motivations for war, but no consensus about which are most common.[82] Carl von Clausewitz said, 'Every age has its own peculiar preconceptions.'[83] Psychoanalyst Joost Meerloo held that, "War is often...a mass discharge of accumulated internal
rage (where)...the inner fears of mankind are discharged in mass destruction."[84] Other psychoanalysts such as E.F.M. Durban and John Bowlby have argued human beings are inherently violent.[85] This aggressiveness is fueled by displacement and projection where a person transfers his or her grievances into bias and hatred against other races,
religions, nations or ideologies. By this theory, the nation state preserves order in the local society while creating an outlet for aggression through warfare. The Italian psychoanalyst Franco Fornari, a follower of Melanie Klein, thought war was the paranoid or projective "elaboration" of mourning.[86] Fornari thought war and violence develop out of
our "love need": our wish to preserve and defend the sacred objects that generate warfare. Fornari focused upon sacrifice as the essence of war: the astonishing willingness of human beings to die for their country, to give over their
bodies to their nation. Despite Fornari's theory that man's altruistic desire for self-sacrifice for a noble cause is a contributing factor towards war, few wars have originated from a desire for war among the general populace. [87] Far more often the general population has been reluctantly drawn into war by its rulers. One psychological theory that
looks at the leaders is advanced by Maurice Walsh.[88] He argues the general populace is more neutral towards war and wars occur when leaders who seek war such as Napoleon and Hitler. Such leaders most often come to power in times of
crisis when the populace opts for a decisive leader, who then leads the nation to war. Naturally, the common people don't want war; neither in Russia nor in England nor in America, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood. But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the
people along, whether it is a democracy or a fascist dictatorship or a Parliament or a Communist dictatorship. ... the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same
are two main schools: One sees organized warfare as emerging in or after the Mesolithic as a result of complex social organization and greater population density and competition. [90] The
latter school argues that since warlike behavior patterns are found in many primate species such as chimpanzees,[91] as well as in many primate species such as chimpanzees,[92] group conflict may be a general feature of animal social behavior. Some proponents of the idea argue that war, while innate, has been intensified greatly by developments of technology and social
organization such as weaponry and states.[93] Psychologist and linguist Steven Pinker argued that in order to have credible deterrence against other groups (as well as on an individual level),
it was important to have a reputation for retaliation, causing humans to develop instincts for revenge as well as for protecting a group's (or an individual's) reputation ("honor").[citation needed] Increasing population and constant warfare among the Maya civilization
by AD 900. Crofoot and Wrangham have argued that warfare, if defined as group interactions in which "coalitions attempt to aggressively dominate or kill members of other groups", is a characteristic of most human societies. Those in which it has been lacking "tend to be societies that were politically dominated by their neighbors".[95] Ashley
Montagu strongly denied universalistic instinctual arguments, arguing that social factors and childhood socialization are important in determining the nature and presence of warfare. Thus, he argues, warfare is not a universal human occurrence and appears to have been a historical invention, associated with certain types of human societies. [96]
Montagu's argument is supported by ethnographic research conducted in societies where the concept of aggression seems to be entirely absent, e.g. the Chewong and Semai of the Malay peninsula. [97] Bobbi S. Low has observed correlation between warfare and education, noting societies where warfare is commonplace encourage their children to
be more aggressive. [98] Economic Kuwaiti oil wells on fire, during the Gulf War, 1 March 1991 See also: Resource war War can be seen as a growth of economic competitive international system. In this view wars begin as a pursuit of markets for natural resources and for wealth. War has also been linked to economic development by
economic historians and development economists studying state-building and fiscal capacity. [99] While this theory has been applied to many conflicts, such counter arguments become less valid as the increasing mobility of capital and information level the distributions of wealth worldwide, or when considering that it is relative, not absolute, wealth
differences that may fuel wars. There are those on the extreme right of the political spectrum who provide support, fascists in particular, by asserting a natural right of a strong nation to whatever the weak cannot hold by force. [100][101] Some centrist, capitalist, world leaders, including Presidents of the United States and U.S. Generals, expressed
support for an economic view of war. Marxist Main article: Marxist explanations of war is quasi-economic in that it states all modern wars are caused by competition for resources and markets between great (imperialist) powers, claiming these wars are a natural result of capitalism. Marxist economists Karl Kautsky
Rosa Luxemburg, Rudolf Hilferding and Vladimir Lenin theorized that imperialism was the result of capitalist countries needing new markets. Expansion of the means of production is only possible if there is a corresponding growth in consumer demand. Since the workers in a capitalist economy would be unable to fill the demand, producers must
expand into non-capitalist markets to find consumers for their goods, hence driving imperialism.[102] Demographic theories can be grouped into two classes, Malthusian and youth bulge theories see expanding population
and scarce resources as a source of violent conflict. Pope Urban II in 1095, on the eve of the First Crusade as a solution to European overpopulation, said: For this land which you now inhabit, shut in on all sides by the sea and the mountain peaks, is too narrow for your large population; it scarcely furnishes food enough for its
cultivators. Hence it is that you murder and devour one another, that you wage wars, and that many among you; let your guarrels end. Enter upon the road to the Holy Sepulchre; wrest that land from a wicked race, and subject it to yourselves.[103] This is one of the earliest
expressions of what has come to be called the Malthusian theory of war, in which wars are caused by expanding populations always increase until they are limited by war, disease, or famine.[104] The violent herder-farmer conflicts in Nigeria, Mali, Sudan and other countries
in the Sahel region have been exacerbated by land degradation and population growth.[105][106][107] Youth bulge is evident for Africa, and to a lesser extent in some countries in West Asia, Southeast Asia and Central America. According to Heinsohn, who proposed
youth bulge theory in its most generalized form, a youth bulge occurs when 30 to 40 percent of the males of a nation belong to the "fighting age" cohorts from 15 to 29 years of age. It will follow periods with total fertility rates as high as 4-8 children per woman with a 15-29-year delay. [108] [109] Heinsohn saw both past "Christianist" European
colonialism and imperialism, as well as today's Islamist civil unrest and terrorism as results of high birth rates producing youth bulges are the role played by the historically large youth cohorts in the rebellion and revolution waves of early modern Europe, including the
French Revolution of 1789,[111] and the effect of economic depression upon the largest German youth cohorts ever in explaining the rise of Nazism in Germany in the 1930s.[112] The 1994 Rwandan genocide has also been analyzed as following a massive youth bulge theory has been subjected to statistical analysis by the World
Bank,[114] Population Action International,[115] and the Berlin Institute for Population and Development.[117] Cultural Geoffrey Parker argues that what distinguishes the "Western way of war" based in Western Europe chiefly allows historians to
explain its extraordinary success in conquering most of the world after 1500: The Western way of war rests upon five principal foundations: technology, discipline, a highly aggressive military tradition, a remarkable capacity to innovate and to respond rapidly to the innovation of others and—from about 1500 onward—a unique system of war finance
The combination of all five provided a formula for military success....The outcome of wars has been determined less by technology, then by better war plans, the achievement of surprise, greater economic strength, and above all superior discipline. [118] Parker argues that Western armies were stronger because they emphasized discipline, that is,
"the ability of a formation to stand fast in the face of the enemy, where they're attacking or being attacked, without giving way to the natural impulse of fear and panic." Discipline came from drills and marching in formation, target practice, and creating small "artificial kinship groups: such as the company and the platoon, to enhance psychological
cohesion and combat efficiency.[119] Rationalist U.S. soldiers directing artillery on enemy trucks in A Shau Valley, April 1968 Rationalism (and Neorealism (international relations)) operate under the assumption that states or international actors are rational, seek the best possible
outcomes for themselves, and desire to avoid the costs of war.[120] Under one game theory approach, rationalist theories posit all actors can bargain, would be better off if war did not occur, and likewise seek to understand why war nonetheless reoccurs. Under another rationalist game theory without bargaining, the peace war game, optimal
parties cannot avoid war by bargaining, because the thing over which they are fighting cannot be shared between them, but only owned entirely by one side or the other. U.S. Marines direct a concentration of fire at their opponents, Vietnam, 8 May 1968 "Information asymmetry with incentives to misrepresent" occurs when two countries have
secrets about their individual capabilities, and do not agree on either: who would win a war between them, or the magnitude of state's victory or loss. For instance, Geoffrey Blainey argues that war is a result of miscalculation of strength. He cites historical examples of war and demonstrates, "war is usually the outcome of a diplomatic crisis which
cannot be solved because both sides have conflicting estimates of their bargaining power."[121] Thirdly, bargaining may fail due to the states' inability to make credible commitments.[122] Within the rationalist tradition, some theorists have suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias,[123] but are still "as rational suggested that individuals engaged in war suffer a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of cognitive bias, [123] but are still a normal level of
as you and me".[124] According to philosopher Iain King, "Most instigators of conflict overrate their chances of success, while most participants underrate their chances of injury...."[125] King asserts that "Most catastrophic military decisions are rooted in GroupThink" which is faulty, but still rational.[126] The rationalist theory focused around a success, while most participants underrate their chances of injury...."[125] King asserts that "Most catastrophic military decisions are rooted in GroupThink" which is faulty, but still rational.[126] The rationalist theory focused around a success.
bargaining is currently under debate. The Iraq War proved to be an anomaly that undercuts the validity of applying rationalist theory to some wars.[127] Political science The statistical analysis of war was pioneered by Lewis Fry Richardson following World War I. More recent databases of wars and armed conflict have been assembled by the
Correlates of War Project, Peter Brecke and the Uppsala Conflict Data Program.[citation needed] The following subsections consider causes of war from system, societal, and individual levels of analysis. This kind of division was first proposed by Kenneth Waltz in Man, the State, and War and has been often used by political scientists since then.[128]
143 System-level There are several different international relations theory schools. Supporters of realism in international relations argue that the motivation of states is the quest for security, and conflicts can arise from the inability to distinguish defense from offense, which is called the security dilemma. [128]: 145 Within the realist school as
represented by scholars such as Henry Kissinger and Hans Morgenthau, and the neorealist school represented by scholars such as Kenneth Waltz and John Mearsheimer, two main sub-theories are: Balance of power theory: States have the goal of preventing a single state from becoming a hegemon, and war is the result of the would-be hegemon's
persistent attempts at power acquisition. In this view, an international system with more equal distribution of power is more stable, and "movements toward unipolarity is not actually a major factor in the occurrence of wars.[128]:147-48 Power transition theory: Hegemons
impose stabilizing conditions on the world order, but they eventually decline, and war occurs when a declining hegemon is challenged by another rising power or aims to preemptively suppress them.[128]:148 On this view, unlike for balance-of-power theory, wars become more probable when power is more equally distributed. This "power
preponderance" hypothesis has empirical support.[128]:148 The two theories are not mutually exclusive and may be used to explain disparate events according to the circumstance.[128]:148 Liberalism as it relates to international relations emphasizes factors such as trade, and its role in disincentivizing conflict which will damage economic
relations. Realists[who?] respond that military force may sometimes be at least as effective as trade at achieving economic benefits, especially historically if not as much today.[128]:150 Empirical data on the relationship of
trade to peace are mixed, and moreover, some evidence suggests countries at war don't necessarily trade less with each other.[128]:150 Societal-level Diversion or to rally domestic popular support.[128]:152 This is supported by
literature showing out-group hostility enhances in-group bonding, and a significant domestic "rally effect" has been demonstrated when conflicts begin. [128]: 152-13 However, studies examining the increased use of force as a function of need for internal political support are more mixed. [128]: 152-53 U.S. war-time presidential popularity surveys
taken during the presidencies of several recent U.S. leaders have supported diversionary theory.[129] Individual-level These theories suggest differences in people's personalities, decision-making, emotions, belief systems, and biases are important in determining whether conflicts get out of hand.[128]:157 For instance, it has been proposed that
conflict is modulated by bounded rationality and various cognitive biases, [128]: 157 such as prospect theory. [130] Ethics Morning after the Battle of Waterloo, by John Heaviside Clark, 1816 The morality of war has been the subject of debate for thousands of years. [131] The two principal aspects of ethics in war, according to the just war theory, are
sufficient gravity to merit large-scale violence; third, the just belligerent must have a reasonable chance good and curtail evil; fourth, a just belligerent must be a last resort; and sixth, the ends being sought must be proportional to means being used.[133][134]
Jus in bello (right in war), is the set of ethical rules when conducting war. The two main principles are proportionality and discrimination. Proportionality and discrimination determines who are the legitimate targets in a
war, and specifically makes a separation between combatants, who it is not.[135] Failure to follow these rules can result in the loss of legitimacy for the just-war-belligerent.[136] In besieged Leningrad. "Hitler ordered that Moscow and Leningrad were to be razed to the ground; their inhabitants were
to be annihilated or driven out by starvation. These intentions were part of the 'General Plan East'." - The Oxford Companion to World War II.[137] The just war theory was foundational in the creation of the United Nations and in international law's regulations on legitimate war.[131] Fascism, and the ideals it encompasses, such as Pragmatism,
racism, and social Darwinism, hold that violence is good. [138] [139] Pragmatism holds that violence is good so that a master race can be established, or to purge an inferior race from the earth, or both. Social Darwinism asserts
that violence is sometimes necessary to weed the unfit from society so civilization can flourish. These are broad archetypes for the general position that the ends justify the means. Lewis Coser, U.S. conflict theorist and sociologist, argued conflict provides a function and a process whereby a succession of new equilibriums are created. Thus, the
struggle of opposing forces, rather than being disruptive, may be a means of balancing and maintaining a social structure or society.[140] Limiting and stopping Anti-war movementReligious groups have long formally opposed or sought to limit war as in the Second Vatican Council
document Gaudiem et Spes: "Any act of war aimed indiscriminately at the destruction of entire cities of extensive areas along with their population is a crime against God and man himself. It merits unequivocal and unhesitating condemnation." [141] Anti-war movements have existed for every major war in the 20th century, including, most
prominently, World War I, World War I, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq. Protests opposing the War in Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq. Protests opposing the War in Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq. Protests opposing the War in Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq. Protests opposing the War in Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion of Afghanistan occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred in Europe, Asia, and the United States invasion occurred
further agreed to— ceasefire, temporary cessation, humanitarian pauses and corridors, days of tranquility, de-confliction arrangements.[142] There a number of disadvantages, obstacles and hesitations against implementing such pauses such as "delay of defeat
and the "weakening of credibility".[145] Natural causes for a pause may include events such as the 2019 coronavirus pandemic.[146][147] See also War portal Outline of war Grey-zone (international relations) Notes ^ The term "armed conflict" is used instead of, or in addition to, the term "war" with the former being more general in scope. The
International Committee of the Red Cross differentiates between international armed conflicts exist whenever there is resort to armed conflicts are protracted armed conflicts exist whenever there is resort to armed conflicts exist whenever the resort to armed conflicts exist whenever the resort to armed conflicts exist when the resort the resort to armed conflicts exist when the resort the resort to armed conflicts exist when the resort 
armed forces and the forces of one or more armed groups, or between such groups arising on the territory of a State [party to the Geneva Conventions]. The armed confrontation must reach a minimum level of intensity and the parties involved in the conflict must show a minimum of organisation."[1] References ^ "How is the Term "Armed Conflict"
Defined in International Humanitarian Law?" (PDF). International Committee of the Red Cross. March 2008. ^ "Warfare". Cambridge Dictionary. Retrieved 1 August 2016. ^ Smihula, Daniel (2013): The Use of Force in International Relations, p. 67, ISBN 978-80-224-1341-1. ^ James, Paul; Friedman, Jonathan (2006). Globalization and Violence, Vol
3: Globalizing War and Intervention. London: Sage Publications. ^ "war". Online Etymology Dictionary. 2010. Retrieved 24 April 2011. ^ Keeley, Lawrence H: War Before Civilization: The Myth of the Peaceful Savage. p. 37. ^ Diamond, Jared, Guns, Germs and Steel ^ Conway W. Henderson (9 February 2010). Understanding International Law. Johns and Steel ^ Conway W. Henderson (9 February 2010).
Wiley & Sons. pp. 212-. ISBN 978-1-4051-9764-9. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ B. Jongman & J.M.G. van der Dennen, 'The Great "War Figures" Hoax: an investigation in polemomythology: ^ Matthew White, Atrocitology: Humanity's 100 Deadliest Achievements
Canongate Books Ltd. (20 October 2011), ISBN 0857861220 ^ Matthew White, 'Primitive War' ^ David McCandless, '20th Century Death' ^ "Review: War Before Civilization". Brneurosci.org. 4 September 2006. Archived from the original on 21 November 2010. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ Spengler (4 July 2006). "The fraud of primitive
authenticity". Asia Times Online. Archived from the original on 6 July 2006. Retrieved 8 June 2009. {{cite news}}: CS1 maint: unfit URL (link) ^ Martin, Debra L.; Harrod, Ryan P.; Pérez, Ventura R., eds. (2012). The Bioarchaeology of Violence. Gainesville: University Press of Florida. ^ Keeley, Lawrence H: War Before Civilization: The Myth of the
Peaceful Savage. p. 55. ^ W. D. Rubinstein (2004). Genocide: A History. Pearson Longman. pp. 22-50. ISBN 978-0-582-50601-5. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ a b c World War One - A New Kind of War | Part II Archived 27 February 2018 at the Wayback Machine, From 14 - 18 Understanding the Great War, by Stéphane Audoin-Rouzeau, Annette Becker
^ Kolko 1994, p. xvii-xviii: "War in this century became an essential precondition for the emergence of a numerically powerful Left, moving it from the margins to the very center of European politics during 1917-18 and of all world affairs after 1941". ^ "Albert Einstein: Man of Imagination". 1947. Archived from the original on 4 June 2010. Retrieved
3 February 2010. Nuclear Age Peace Foundation paper ^ "Instant Wisdom: Beyond the Little Red Book". Time. 20 September 1976. Retrieved 14 April 2013. ^ Robert J. Bunker and Pamela Ligouri Bunker, "The modern state in epochal transition: The significance of irregular warfare, state deconstruction, and the rise of new warfighting entities
beyond neo-medievalism." Small Wars & Insurgencies 27.2 (2016): 325-344. A Hewitt, Joseph, J. Wilkenfield and T. Gurr Peace and Conflict 2008, Paradigm Publishers, 2007 D. Hank Ellison (24 August 2007). Handbook of Chemical and Biological Warfare Agents, Second Edition. CRC Press. pp. 567-70. ISBN 978-0-8493-1434-6. Lewis, Brian C.
"Information Warfare". Federation of American Scientist. Archived from the original on 17 June 1997. Retrieved 27 February 2017. Sullivan, Patricia (16 July 2012). "War Aims and War Outcomes". Who Wins?: Predicting Strategic Success and Failure in Armed Conflict. Oxford University Press, USA (published 2012). p. 17.
doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199878338.003.0003. ISBN 9780199878338. Retrieved 25 August 2015. A state with greater military capacity than its adversary is more likely to prevail in wars with more limited objectives. ^ Fried, Marvin Benjamin (1
July 2014). Austro-Hungarian War Aims in the Balkans During World War I. Palgrave Macmillan (published 2014). p. 4. ISBN 9781137359018. Retrieved 24 August 2015. War aims are the desired territorial, economic, military or other benefits expected following successful conclusion of a war. ^ Welch distinguishes: "tangible goods such as arms,
wealth, and - provided they are strategically or economically valuable - territory and resources" from "intangible goods such as credibility and reputation" - Welch, David A. (10 August 1995). p. 17. ISBN 9780521558686.
Retrieved 24 August 2015. ^ Fried, Marvin Benjamin (1 July 2014). Austro-Hungarian War Aims in the Balkans During World War I. Palgrave Macmillan (published 2014). p. 4. ISBN 9781137359018. Retrieved 24 August 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.com/sept.2014. The price of the properties of the properti
framed within a more tangible context (e.g. conquest restores prestige, annexation increases power, etc.). ^ Compare:Katwala, Sunder (13 February 2005). "Churchill] took office and declared he had 'not become the King's First Minister (13 February 2005)."
to oversee the liquidation of the British empire'. [...] His view was that an Anglo-American English-speaking alliance would seek to preserve the empire, though ending it was among Roosevelt's implicit war aims. ^ Compare Fried, Marvin Benjamin (1 July 2014). Austro-Hungarian War Aims in the Balkans During World War I. Palgrave Macmillan
(published 2014). p. 4. ISBN 9781137359018. Retrieved 24 August 2015. At times, war aims were explicitly stated internally or externally in a policy decision, while at other times [...] the war aims were merely discussed but not published, remaining instead in the form of memoranda or instructions. ^ Fried, Marvin Benjamin (1 July 2015). "'A Life
and Death Question': Austro-Hungarian War Aims in the First World War. In Afflerbach, Holger (ed.). The Purpose of the First World War. War Aims and Military Strategies. Schriften des Historischen Kollegs. Vol. 91. Berlin/Boston: Walter de Gruyter GmbH (published 2015). p. 118. ISBN 9783110443486. Retrieved 24 August 2015. [T]he [Austrian]
Foreign Ministry [...] and the Military High Command [...] were in agreement that political and military hegemony over Serbia and the Western Balkans was a vital war aim. The Hungarian Prime Minister István Count Tisza, by contrast, was more preoccupied with so-called 'negative war aims', notably warding off hostile Romanian, Italian, and even
Bulgarian intervention. ^ Haase, Hugo (1932). "The Debate in the Reichstag on Internal Political Conditions, April 5-6, 1916". In Lutz, Ralph Haswell (ed.). Fall of the German Empire, 1914-1918. Hoover War Library publications. Stanford University Press. p. 233. ISBN 9780804723800. Retrieved 25 August 2015. Gentlemen, when it comes time to
formulate peace conditions, it is time to think of another thing than war aims. ^ Roser, Max (15 November 2017). "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 15 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 16 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 16 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 16 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 16 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 17 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "War and Peace". Our World in Data. Retrieved 18 November 2017. ^ "World Health Organization. ^ "
November 2017. ^*The Cambridge History of China: Alien regimes and border states, 907-1368, 1994, p. 622, cited by White *Matthew White (2011-11-07). The Great Big Book of Horrible Things: The Definitive Chronicle of History's 100 Worst Atrocities. ^ GBD 2013 Mortality and Causes of Death, Collaborators (17 December 2014). "Global
regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013". Lancet. 385 (9963): 117-71. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2. PMC 4340604. PMID 25530442. {{cite journal}}: |first1= has generic name (help) ^ "Top Ten
Problems of Humanity for Next 50 Years", Professor R. E. Smalley, Energy & NanoTechnology Conference, Rice University, 3 May 2003. ^ Tanton, John (2002). The pursuit of happiness. p. 304. ^ Baxter, Richard (2013). Humanizing the Laws of War. p. 344. ^ Timothy Snyder, Bloodlands: Europe
 Between Hitler and Stalin, Basic Books, 2010, p. 250. ^ Dying and Death: Inter-disciplinary Perspectives. p. 153, Asa Kasher (2007) ^ Chew, Emry (2012). Arming the Periphery. p. 49. ^ a b McFarlane, Alan: The Savage Wars of Peace: England, Japan and the Malthusian Trap, Blackwell 2003, ISBN 0-631-18117-2, ISBN 978-0-631-18117-0 - cited by
White ^ Wallinsky, David: David Wallechinsky's Twentieth Century: History With the Boring Parts Left Out, Little Brown & Co., 1996, ISBN 0-316-92056-8, ISBN 978-0-316-92056-8, ISBN 978-0-316-92056-8
"An Estimate of the Total Population of Sung-Chin China", in Études Song, Series 1, No 1, (1970) pp. 33-53. \[ "Mongol Conquests". Users.erols.com. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "The world's worst massacres Whole Earth Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 17 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 18 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 18 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 18 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". 1987. Archived from the original on 18 May 2003. Retrieved 24 January 2011. \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". \[ "Taiping Rebellion - Britannical Review". \]
Concise". Britannica. Archived from the original on 15 December 2007. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ "Selected Death Tolls for Wars, Massacres and Atrocities Before the 20th Century". Users erols com. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ "Selected Death Tolls for Wars, Massacres and Atrocities Before the 20th Century". Users erols com. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ "Selected Death Tolls for Wars, Massacres and Atrocities Before the 20th Century". Users erols com. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ "Selected Death Tolls for Wars, Massacres and Atrocities Before the 20th Century".
2011. ^ "Nuclear Power: The End of the War Against Japan". BBC News. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ "Timur Lenk (1369-1405)". Users.erols.com. Retrieved 24 January 2011. ^ Matthew White's website (a compilation of scholarly death toll estimates) ^ 曹树基. 《中国人口史》 (in Chinese). Vol. 5 《清时期》. p. 635.[full citation needed] ^ 路伟东. "同治光
绪年间陕西人口的损失" (in Chinese).[full citation needed] ^ "Russian Civil War". Spartacus-Educational.com. Archived from the original on 5 December 2010. Retrieved 26 February 2019. ^ a b Lt. Col. Dave Grossman (1996). On Killing - The Psychological Cost of Learning to Kill in War & Society. Little, Brown & Co. ^ Maris Vinovskis (28 September
1990). Toward a Social History of the American Civil War: Exploratory Essays. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-39559-5. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ Kitchen, Martin (2000), The Treaty of Versailles and its Consequences Archived 12 May 2018 at the Wayback Machine, New York: Longman ^ The Historical Impact of Epidemic Typhus.
Joseph M. Conlon. ^ War and Pestilence, TIME. ^ A. S. Turberville (2006). Johnson's England: An Account of the Life & Manners of Violent war deaths from Vietnam to Bosnia: analysis of data from the world health survey programme", BMJ, 336
(7659): 1482-86. doi:10.1136/bmj.a137. PMC 2440905. PMID 18566045. ^ The Thirty Years War (1618-48), Alan McFarlane, The Savage Wars of Peace: England, Japan and the Malthusian Trap (2003) ^ History of Europe - Demographics. Encyclopædia Britannica. ^ Davenport, Christian; Mokleiv Nygård, Håvard; Fjelde, Hanne; Armstrong, David
(2019). "The Consequences of Contention: Understanding the Aftereffects of Political Conflict and Violence". Annual Review of Political Science. 22: 361–377. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-050317-064057. "World War II Fatalities". Archived from the original on 22 April 2007. Retrieved 20 April 2007. "Leaders mourn Soviet wartime dead". BBC
News. 9 May 2005. Retrieved 6 January 2010. ^ Hosking, Geoffrey A. (2006). Rulers And Victims: The Russians in the Soviet Union. Harvard University Press. pp. 242-. ISBN 978-0-674-02178-5. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ "Alsace-Lorraine". Encyclopædia Britannica (Online ed.). Retrieved 21 March 2022. ^ Gatrell, Peter (2014). Russia's First World
War: A Social and Economic History. Hoboken, New Jersey: Routledge. p. 270. ISBN 9781317881391. Mayer, E. (2000). "World War II course lecture notes". Emayzine.com. Victorville, California: Victor Valley College. Archived from the original on 1 March 2009. Retrieved 4 July 2014. Coleman, P. (1999) "Cost of the War," World War II Resource
Guide (Gardena, California: The American War Library) ^ "Great Depression and World War II, 1929-1945". Library of Congress. Archived from the original on 12 October 2007. Retrieved 4 July 2014. ^ Marc Pilisuk; Jennifer Achord Rountree (2008). Who Benefits from Global Violence and War: Uncovering a Destructive System. Greenwood
Publishing Group, pp. 136-. ISBN 978-0-275-99435-8. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ The New York Times, 9 February 1946, Volume 95, Number 32158. ^ Levy, Jack S. (1989). Tetlock, Philip E.; Husbands, Jo L.; Jervis, Robert; Stern, Paul C.; Tilly, Charles (eds.). "The Causes of War: A Review of Theories and Evidence" (PDF). Behavior, Society and
Nuclear War. I: 295. Archived from the original (PDF) on 22 September 2013. Retrieved 4 May 2012. ^ Clausewitz, Carl Von (1976), On War (Princeton University Press, ISBN 978-1-61577-376-3 ^ Durbin, E.F.L. and John Bowlby. Personal Aggressiveness and War
1939. ^ (Fornari 1975) ^ Blanning, T.C.W. "The Origin of Great Wars." The Origins of the French Revolutionary Wars. p. 5 ^ Walsh, Maurice N. War and the Human Race. 1971. ^ "In an interview with Gilbert in Göring's jail cell during the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials (18 April 1946)". 18 April 2017. Retrieved 5 August 2015. ^ Peter Meyer. Social
Evolution in Franz M. Wuketits and Christoph Antweiler (eds.) Handbook of Evolution The Evolution of Human Societies and Cultures Wiley-VCH Verlag ^ O'Connell, Sanjida (7 January 2004). "Apes of war...is it in our genes?". The Daily Telegraph. London. Retrieved 6 February 2010. Analysis of chimpanzee war behavior ^ Anderson, Kenneth (1996)
"Warrior Ants: The Enduring Threat of the Small War and the Land-mine". SSRN 935783. {{cite journal}}: Cite journal requires | 
& 2 ^ Steven Pinker. "Steven Pinker - Books - The Blank Slate". Pinker.wjh.harvard.edu. Archived from the origins of Human Universals By Peter M. Kappeler, Joan B. Silk, 2009, Chapter 8, "Intergroup Aggression in Primates and Humans; The Case for a Unified
Theory", Margaret C. Crofoot and Richard W. Wrangham ^ Montagu, Ashley (1976), The Nature of Human Aggression (Oxford University Press) ^ Howell, Signe and Roy Willis, eds. (1989) Societies at Peace: Anthropological Perspectives. London: Routledge ^ "An Evolutionary Perspective on War" Archived 16 October 2015 at the Wayback Machine
Bobbi S. Low, published in Behavior, Culture, and Conflict in World Politics, The University of Michigan Press, p. 22 ^ Johnson, Noel D.; Koyama, Mark (2015). "States and Economic Growth: Capacity and Constraints" (PDF). George Mason University WORKING PAPER. ^ Roger Griffin and Matthew Feldman, eds., Fascism: Fascism and Culture, New
York: Routledge, 2004. ^ Hawkins, Mike. Social Darwinism in European and American Thought, 1860-1945: Nature as Model and Nature as Threat, Cambridge University Press, 1997. ^ O'Callaghan, Einde (25 October 2007). "The Marxist Theory of Imperialism and its Critics". Marxists Internet Archive. Retrieved 24 April 2011. ^ Safire, William
(2004). Lend me your ears: great speeches in history. W. W. Norton & Company, p. 94. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach. Nelson Thornes. p. 378. ISBN 978-0-393-05931-1. \(^\text{Waugh}\), David (2000). Geography: an integrated approach appro
Is Spurring Land Conflict in Nigeria". Time. 28 June 2018. ^ "The Deadliest Conflict You've Never Heard of". Foreign Policy. 23 January 2019. ^ Helgerson, John L. (2002): "The National Security Implications of Global Demography and War" (online) ^ Heinsohn, G. (2005): "Population, Conquest and
Terror in the 21st Century" (online) ^ Jack A. Goldstone (4 March 1993). Revolution and Rebellion in the Early Modern World. University of California Press. ISBN 978-0-520-08267-0. Retrieved 31 May 2012. ^ Moller, Herbert (1968): 'Youth as a Force in the Modern World', Comparative Studies in Society and History 10: 238-60; 240-44
Diessenbacher, Hartmut (1994): Kriege der Zukunft: Die Bevölkerungsexplosion gefährdet den Frieden. Muenchen: Hanser 1998; see also (criticizing youth bulge theory) Marc Sommers (2006): "Fearing Africa's Young Men: The World Bank: Social Development Papers - Conflict Prevention and Reconstruction, Paper No. 32,
January 2006 [2] ^ Urdal, Henrik (2004): "The Devil in the Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Demographics: The Effect of Youth Bulges on Domestic Armed Conflict," [3], ^ Population Action International: "The Security Dem
Kriege? Welchen Einfluss haben demografische Veränderungen auf die Entstehung von Konflikten?" [5] Archived 4 September 2018 at the Wayback Machine ^ Hendrixson, Anne: "Angry Young Men, Veiled Young Women: Constructing a New Population Threat" [6] ^ Geoffrey Parker, "Introduction" in Parker, ed. The Cambridge illustrated history of
warfare (Cambridge University Press 1995) pp 2-11, online ^ Parker, :Introduction: pp 2, 3. ^ a b Fearon, James D. (Summer 1995). "Rationalist Explanations for War". International Organization. 49 (3): 379-414. doi:10.1017/s0020818300033324. JSTOR 2706903. ^ Geoffrey Blainey (1988). Causes of War (3rd ed.). p. 114. ISBN 9780029035917.
Retrieved 19 March 2016. Powell, Robert (2002). "Bargaining Theory and International Conflict". Annual Review of Political Science. 5: 1-30. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.092601.141138. Chris Cramer, 'Civil War is Not a Stupid Thing', ISBN 978-1850658214 From point 10 of Modern Conflict is Not What You Think (article), accessed 16
December 2014. ^ Quote from Iain King, in Modern Conflict is Not What You Think ^ Point 6 in Modern Conflict is Not What You Think ^ Lake, David A. (November 2010). "Two Cheers for Bargaining Theory: Assessing Rationalist Explanations of the Iraq War". International Security. 35 (3): 7-52. doi:10.1162/isec a 00029. S2CID 1096131. ^ a b c d
efghijklmnoLevy, Jack S. (June 1998). "The Causes of War and the Conditions of Peace". Annual Review of Political Science. 1: 139-65. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.1.1.139. "Peace Economics, Peace Economics, Peace Science and Public Policy (p. 19)". 2001. Archived from the original on 7 July 2011. Retrieved 7 February 2010. More recently studies (Lebow
2008, Lindemann 2010) demonstrated that striving for self-esteem (i.e. virile self images), and recognition as a Great Power or non-recognition (exclusion and punishment of great powers, denying traumatic historical events) is a principal cause of international conflict and war. ^ Levy, Jack S. (March 1997). "Prospect Theory, Rational Choice, and
International Relations" (PDF). International Studies Quarterly. 41 (1): 87-112. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00034. Archived from the original (PDF) on 24 September 2015. ^ a b DeForrest, Mark Edward. "Conclusion". JUST WAR THEORY AND THE RECENT U.S. AIR STRIKES AGAINST IRAQ. Gonzaga Journal of International Law. Archived from the
original on 2 April 2010. Retrieved 1 August 2011. ^ DeForrest, Mark Edward. "GENERALLY RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLES OF JUST WAR THEORY". {{cite web}}: Missing or empty |url= (help) ^ Aquinas, Thomas. "Part II, Question 40". The Summa Theologica. Benziger Bros. edition, 1947. Archived from the original on 12 February 2002. Retrieved 1
August 2011. And Bellum Convention. Just War Theory. Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved 1 August 2011. A b Moseley, Alexander. The Principles of Jus in Bello. Just War Theory. Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved 1 August 2011. A b Moseley, Alexander. The Principles of Jus in Bello. Just War Theory. Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved 1 August 2011.
Means. New York, NY: Basic Books. p. 304. ISBN 978-0-465-09067-9. ^ Ian Dear, Michael Richard Daniell Foot (2001). The Oxford Companion to World War II. Oxford University Press. p. 88. ISBN 0-19-860446-7 ^ Griffin and Feldman, eds, Roger and Matthew (2004). Fascism: Fascism and Culture. Routledge. p. 185. {{cite book}}: |last= has
generic name (help) ^ Woodley, Daniel (2010). Fascism and political theory critical perspectives on fascist ideology (PDF). London: Routledge. p. 276. ISBN 978-0-203-87157-7. Archived from the original (PDF) on 12 January 2012. ^ Ankony, Robert C., "Sociological and Criminological Theory: Brief of Theorists, Theories, and Terms," CFM Research
Jul. 2012. ^ "PASTORAL CONSTITUTION ON THE CHURCH IN THE MODERN WORLD GAUDIUM ET SPES PROMULGATED BY HIS HOLINESS, POPE PAUL VI ON DECEMBER 7, 1965" ^ Glossary of Terms: Pauses During Conflict (PDF), United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, June 2011 ^ "Why humanitarians wary of
 "humanitarian corridors"". The New Humanitarian. 19 March 2012. Retrieved 6 March 2022. A Reindorp, Nicola; Wiles, Peter (June 2001). "Humanitarian Coordination: Lessons from Recent Field Experience" (PDF). Overseas Development Institute, London - via UNHCR. Nemeth, Maj Lisa A. (2009). "The Use of Pauses in Coercion: An Examination of Pauses in Coercion of Pauses in C
in Theory" (PDF). Monograph. School of Advanced Military Studies, United States Army Command and General Staff College. ^ Laura Wise, Sanja Badanjak, Christine Bell and Fiona Knäussel (2021). "Pandemic Pauses: Understanding Ceasefires in a Time of Covid-19" (PDF). political Settlements.org. Political Settlements Research Programme. {{cite
web}}: CS1 maint: uses authors parameter (link) ^ Drexler, Madeline (10 September 2021). "When a Virus Strikes, Can the World Pause Its Wars? -". The Wire Science. Retrieved 6 March 2022. Bibliography Barzilai, Gad (1996). Wars, Internal Conflicts and Political Order: A Jewish Democracy in the Middle East. Albany: State University of New York
Press. Beer, Francis A. (1974). How Much War in History: Definitions, Estimates, Extrapolations, and Trends. Beverly Hills: SAGE. Beer, Francis A. (2001). Meanings of War and Peace. College Station: Texas A&M University Press
Blainey, Geoffrey (1973). The Causes of War. Simon and Schuster. Butler, Smedley (1935). War is a Racket. Chagnon, N. (1983). The Yanomamo. Holt, Rinehart & Winston. Clausewitz, Carl Von (1976). On War, Princeton University Press Codevilla, Angelo (2005). No Victory, No Peace. Rowman and Littlefield Codevilla, Angelo; Seabury, Paul (2006).
War: Ends and Means (2 ed.). Potomac Books. Fog, Agner (2017). Warlike and Peaceful Societies: The Interaction of Genes and Culture. Open Book Publishers. doi:10.11647/OBP.0128. ISBN 978-1-78374-403-9. Fornari, Franco (1974). The Psychoanalysis of War. Translated by Pfeifer, Alenka. NY: Doubleday Anchor Press. ISBN 9780385043472. Fry,
Douglas (2004). "Conclusion: Learning from Peaceful Societies". In Kemp, Graham (ed.). Keeping the Peace. Routledge. pp. 185-204. Fry, Douglas (2005). The Human Potential for Peace: An Anthropological Challenge to Assumptions about War and Violence. Oxford University Press. Fry, Douglas (2005). The Human Potential for Peace: An Anthropological Challenge to Assumptions about War and Violence. Oxford University Press. Fry, Douglas (2005). The Human Potential for Peace: An Anthropological Challenge to Assumptions about War and Violence. Oxford University Press. Fry, Douglas (2005). The Human Potential for Peace (2005). The Human Potent
Azar (2006). War in Human Civilization. Oxford University Press. Heinsohn, Gunnar (2003). Söhne und Weltmacht: Terror im Aufstieg und Fall der Nationes (PDF) (in German). Orell Füssl. Howell, Signe; Willis, Roy (1990). Societies at Peace: Anthropological Perspectives. London:
Routledge. James, Paul; Friedman, Jonathan (2006). Globalization and Violence, Vol. 3: Globalization and Violence, Vol. 4: Transnational Conflict. London: Sage Publications. Keegan, John (1994). A History of Warfare. Pimlico. Keeley, Lawrence (1996). War
Before Civilization, Oxford University Press. Keen, David (2012). Useful Enemies: When Waging Wars Is More Important Than Winning Them. Yale University of Michigan Press. Kemp, Graham; Fry, Douglas (2004). Keeping the Peace. New York: Routledge. Kolko,
Gabriel (1994). Century of War: Politics, Conflicts, and Society since 1914. New York, NY: The New Press. Lindemann, Thomas (2010). Causes of War. The Struggle for Recognition. Colchester, ECPR Press Maniscalco, Fabio (2007). World heritage
```

and war: linee guida per interventi a salvaguardia dei beni culturali nelle aree a rischio bellico. Massa. ISBN 978-88-87835-89-2. McIntosh, Jane (2002). A Peaceful Realm: The Rise and Fall of the Indus Civilization. Oxford, UK: Westview Press. Metz, Steven and Cuccia, Philip R. (2011). Defining War for the 21st Century, Strategic Studies Institute, U.S. Army War College. ISBN 978-1-58487-472-0 Montagu, Ashley (1978). Learning Nonaggression. New York: Oxford University Press. Parker, Geoffrey, ed. (2008) The Cambridge Illustrated History of Warfare: The Triumph of the West (Cambridge University Press.)



